

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

SS:	COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
	P.O. Box 1450
	Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
	www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/575,894 05/22/2000		5/22/2000	Phillip G. Rorex	9623/179	2173	
757	7590	05/24/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		LSON & LIONE	PHAM, KHANH B			
P.O. BOX 10395 CHICAGO, IL 60610				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2177		
				DATE MAILED: 05/24/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
Advisory Action	09/575,894	ROREX ET AL.	/					
ravioury riodon	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Khanh B. Pham	2177	•					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addre	ess					
THE REPLY FILED 06 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.								
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the part of the period of t	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI	g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. S R 1.136(a) and the approp	n. See MPEP priate extension					
fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.								
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:							
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or								
(d)  they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims	•					
NOTE:								
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed a	mendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT	place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendments explanation of how the new or amended claims we			ıd an					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:								
Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected:								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	oved or b)  disapproved by the	ne Examiner.						
9.⊠ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	it(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s). <u>1</u>	<u>5</u> .						
10. Other:		. E. Brens	•					
	Û	JOHN BREENE						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) SU/ENVISORY PATENT EXAMINER



Claims 1, 3-16, 18-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ryan et al (US 6,421,675 B1 As per claim 1, Ryan teaches a method of generating a search result list, the method comprising:

"receiving a search request from a searcher" at Col. 4 lines 30-32 and Fig. 1A, step 18;

"in a pay for placement database including a plurality of search listings identifying search listings generating a match with the search request" at Col. 4 lines 32-36 and Fig. 1A, step 20;

"in a related search database including related search listings generated from the pay for placement database, identifying related search listings relevant to the search request" at Col. 4 lines 42-52 and Fig. 1, steps 32 and 38;

"searching an inverted index of data obtained using the plurality of search listings of the pay for placement database" at Col. 27 lines 55-67 and Tables 3, 10;

"searching meta-information obtained by analyzing the plurality of search listings of the pay for placement database to determine information and relationships present in the search listing" at Col. 31 lines 25-50

"returning a search result list to the searcher including the identified search listings and one or more of the identified related search listings" at Col. 5 line 55-65 and Fig. 1, steps 22, 24, 26, 32 and 38.

Claims 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Goodman (US 5,999,929 A)

As per claim 23, Goodman teaches a method for searching data in a database including Internet data from Internet web sites, the method comprising:

- "forming a list of uniform resource locators (URLs) associated with internet web sites to be accessed" at Col. 3 lines 10-15;
  - "removing duplicate URLs from the list if a URL on the list is similar to another URL on the list" at Col. 5 lines 10-20;
- "determining if a URL on the list is similar to another URL on the list; if the URL is not similar to another URL on the list, adding the URL to a list of URL to be crawled" at Col. 5 lines 10-20;
- "if a URL on the list is similar to another URL on the list, crawling a predetermined number of potentially duplicate URLs; comparing bodies of the URL on the list and the potentially duplicate URLs" at Col. 4 line 60 to Col. 5 line 4;
- "if the body of the URL on the list is similar to the body of the potentially duplicate URL, suspending crawling of the potentially duplicate URLs, and storing the body of the URL on the list in the database for subsequent search" at Col. 7 lines 50-60.